

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,767	11/24/2003	Jack A. Smith	090936.0529	4914
31625	7590 11/29/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			DWIVEDI, VIKANSHA S	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	X 78701-4039	9	3746	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/720,767	SMITH ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Vikansha S. Dwivedi	3746		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Se	eptember 2006.			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
. 3)	•				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,17-21,26 and 29-53</u> is/are pending i	in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
-	Claim(s) <u>1,17-21,26 and 29-53</u> is/are rejected.				
·	· · · ———	, 1	•		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	ı-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage		
* 6	application from the International Bureau				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	·a.		
Attachmen	Intel				
_	nus) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application		

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 19, 21, 26, 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Battah, 4,330,237 in view of Gagnon 4,496,286 and further in view of Redfield 1,616,991.

Battah discloses a method and system for controlling natural gas compressor and engine units, as seen in Figure 2; compressor 10 contains 8 (multiple) cylinders.

Gagnon discloses a system and method for controlling an internal combustion engine (22), a gas compressor (21), and a controller (44). The controller receives compressor operating values of compressor suction pressure (30) and discharge pressure (29) (Also Shown in Figure 1). Gagnon does not disclose varying "load steps". Redfield teaches varying load steps by selectively controlling the load. (Page 2, lines 26-37 and Figure 1). At the time of invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Battah in view of Gagnon and further in view of Redfield to have a loading/unloading system that is effective, responsive and reliable as it changes the capacity smoothly to achieve a smooth control.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,767

Art Unit: 3746

Claims 17, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Battah i. v., Gagnon, i. v., Redfield and further i. v., Marshall et al 5,997,259.

Battah and Gagnon in view of Redfield disclose the invention substantially as claimed by the applicant. Gagnon has a gas-fueled engine and where the pilot fuel is injected into the pre chamber (Fuel Supply system, Column 5 line 42). Gagnon does not specifically identify the pilot injector although it is believed inherently present as part of the fuel delivery system. Alternately, Marshall discloses a diesel engine with a fuel injector (23, Column 4, lines 41-44) for enhanced fuel distribution. Marshall also provides his engine arranged has advantages and thus provide motivation for modifications (Column 4 and 5). At the time of invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Gagnon in view of Marshall, by using a fuel injector to inject the pilot fuel of Gagnon, in order to enhance the distribution of the pilot fuel.

Method claims 33–53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Battah i. v., Gagnon, i. v., Redfield and further i. v., Marshall et al 5,997,259 because the prior art apparatus performs the method steps as claimed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 19, 21, 26 and 29-32 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/720,767

Art Unit: 3746

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vikansha S. Dwivedi whose telephone number is 571-272-7834. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571-272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,767

Art Unit: 3746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

VSD

November 27, 2006

EHUD GARTENBERG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Ehed barbenber